

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Reduction in Neighbourhood Enforcement

Reference: EIA000305







EIA Form – About your EIA

EIA FORM – About your EIA	
Reference number	EIA000305
Date Submitted	26/01/2024
Subject of the EIA	Reduction in Neighbourhood Enforcement
Brief description of the policy, service or function covered by the EIA	Proposal to save £130k for the Waste Enforcement Team budget (general fund) The team are "boots on the ground" enforcement officers tasked with inspecting business trade waste arrangements, investigating fly tipping, waste on land and making local connections (Neighbourhood Watch, West Midlands Police, Councillors etc.) to try and build confidence in Birmingham City Council and to attempt to influence a culture change with regard to waste. There is an additional savings proposal of £130k (£260k total) by asking posts to try and support their own salaries (self- funding) through enforcement receipts (fines from non- compliance)
Equality Assessment is in support of	["Amended function","Amended service"]
How frequently will you review impact and mitigation measures identified in this EIA?	Quarterly
Due date of the first review	2024-07-01

Directorate, Division & Service Area

Directorate, Division & Service		
Which directorate(s) are	["City Operations"]	
responsible for this EIA?		
Division	Street Scene	
Service area	Waste Enforcement	
Budget Saving	Yes	

Officers	
What is the responsible	Paul Pritchett
officer's email address?	
What is the accountable	Robert Edmondson
officer's email address?	

Data Sources	
Data sources	["Birmingham City Observatory data and insight"]







⊳ RESTART



Data source details	Ward profiles for: Alum Rock, Aston, Bordesley Green, Small Heath, Soho and Jewellery Quarter, Sparkbrook and Balsall Heath East - it is these specific wards where the service is being amended because the Neighbourhood Enforcement Officer project only operates within those six wards, being previously identified as the "priority wards" where there has been the largest impact of flytipping. Therefore reducing this service will equally only affect those wards.
	Considering the impact on people in these wards is challenging as, to some extent, a reduction in the street quality is likely to affect everyone equally. Therefore it is the makeup of these areas that will most determine if particular groups are disproportionately affected across the city. However, the wards are also already receiving a service that benefits them above the rest of the city, so a reduction of that service across those wards is still an additional service compared to the rest of the city. However I am attempting to discern how a change from the current service might have an adverse impact on residents in those areas compared with the status quo.

Protected Characteristics

Protected Characteristic – Age	
Does this proposal impact	Yes
people due to their age as	
per the Equality Act 2010?	
What age groups are	["0-9 years","70-79 years","80-89 years","90 years or over"]
impacted by your proposal?	
Please describe the impact to the age characteristic	A reduction in street scene quality due to reduced enforcement and engagement in these wards will have an impact on residents of all ages due to increased waste on
	street giving rise to trip hazards, some waste is hazardous (asbestos/insulating materials) and the officers in question also address burning of waste, less enforcement capacity can lead to increased incidences of burning which causes a risk. The risk is deemed higher to those that are vulnerable by way of age (infants and older citizens) although there is a risk to all.
	There is no impact on the Unit as these are vacant posts.













How will you mitigate against	The steps taken to mitigate any impact will be the same
any negative impact to the	across the different characteristics, by regularly monitoring
age characteristic?	the reports of flytipping in the affected wards and the levels
	of compliance with interventions, will allow officers to
	dedicate their time more effectively to those areas being
	most affected. For example, hazardous waste and burning
	of waste will be prioritised and the remaining resource
	(officer time) can be targeted toward such interventions to
	tackle those higher risk activities.

Toteeted characteristic Disability	
Does this proposal impact	Yes
those people with a disability	
as per the Equality Act 2010?	
Please describe the impact to	According to the Observatory data, across the 6 wards, 35%
the disability characteristic	of the households have one or more people who are
	considered to be disabled.
	The impact to disabled people in the areas stemming from a reduction in enforcement capability within areas might include more waste on the streets which may impact people with particular disabilities, for example wheelchair access due to obstructions, trip hazards for people with visual impairment etc. It may be noted that the observatory data shows that, compared to Birmingham as a whole, these areas have lower reported percentages of the population with disability, however that may be linked to levels of identification of disability.
How will you mitigate against	As with other characteristics, by utilising resource as
any negative impact to the	effectively and efficiently as possible including managing
disability characteristic?	the time spent in areas based upon levels of offending, we
	hope to reduce the negative impact. However, a reduction
	of this nature will lead to less investigation of waste crime,
	more waste in the environment and lower levels of
	community engagement.

Protected Characteristic – Disability

Protected Characteristic – Sex

Troteeted endracteristic sex	
Does this proposal impact	Yes
citizens based on their sex as	
per the Equality Act 2010?	
What sexes will be impacted	["Female"]
by this proposal?	









Birmingham	
Birmingham City Council	

Please describe the impact to the sex characteristic	Females are still recognised as the main care givers in society, therefore the increased waste on street will increase trip hazards and potential exposure to hazardous waste/needles etc. when navigating with pushchairs/small children. It is recognised that this is a risk across genders.
	There are no direct impacts on staff as the posts to be removed are vacant.
How will you mitigate against any negative impact to the sex characteristic?	In line with other steps, prioritisation of resource (officer time) toward the higher risk activities (deposited hazardous waste etc.) will help to reduce negative impact. This is done through profile mapping utilising PowerBI data and local intelligence from city council operatives to identify those areas where there is a higher occurrence of hazardous waste.

Protected Characteristic - Gender Reassignment

Does this proposal impact	No
people who are proposing to	
undergo, undergoing or have	
undergone a process to	
reassign one's sex as per the	
Equality Act 2010?	
Please describe the impact to	N/A
the gender reassignment	
characteristic	
How will you mitigate against	N/A
any negative impact to the	
gender reassignment	
characteristic?	

Protected Characteristic - Marriage and Civil Partnership

111

	0
Does this proposal impact	No
people who are married or in	
a civil partnership as per the	
Equality Act 2010?	
What legal marital or	N/A
registered civil partnership	
status will be impacted by	
this proposal?	
Please describe the impact to	N/A
the marriage and civil	
partnership characteristic	
How will you mitigate against	N/A
any negative impact to the	
marriage and civil partnership	
characteristic?	









Protected Characteristic - Pregnancy and Maternity

Trotected characteristic Tre	<u></u>
Does this proposal impact people covered by the	Yes
Equality Act 2010 under the	
protected characteristic of	
pregnancy and maternity?	
Please describe the impact to	Pregnant people are recognised as being at increased risk
the pregnancy and maternity	when exposed to biological agents in hazard group 2, 3 or 4
characteristic	as categorised by Advisory Committee on dangerous
	Pathogens, chemical agents or trip hazards as a result of
	increased waste in the environment.
How will you mitigate against	Such items are prevalent in particular waste streams, waste
any negative impact to the	from animal processing sites, food premises and domestic
pregnancy and maternity	premises. There will be modelling to identify and prioritise
characteristic?	resources (officer time) to be targeted to such offending.

Protected Characteristic - Ethnicity and Race

Does this	Yes
proposal	
impact	
people due	
to their race	
as per the	
Equality Act	
2010?	
What ethnic	["Other
groups	Asian","Pakistani","Indian","Chinese","Bangladeshi","African","Caribbean",
would be	"Black British","Other Black"]
impacted by	
this	
proposal?	
Please	In the 6 wards, there is a higher percentage population of Asian/Asian
describe the	British (63% vs 31%), Black/African/Caribbean/Black British (14% vs 11%)
impact to	and Other (7.2% vs 4.5%)
the ethnicity	
and race	As a result it is considered that by reducing service in the 6 wards there is a
characteristic	larger impact on those groups who are more represented within the wards.
	The impact will be on risk to health and reduction in amenity of the area
	caused by a reduction in street scene quality linked with increased waste in
	the environment and prevalence of Environmental Crime.











Birmingham City Council

How will you	Whilst the reduced service in these areas will impact those groups, the
mitigate	reduced service is still only offered in those 6 wards. We expect there to be
against any	less engagement and enforcement in each of the 6 priority wards. To help
negative	mitigate this, we will prioritise officer time into the areas most impacted by
impact to	waste crime as measured through reports of waste crime via the contact
the ethnicity	centre and referrals from Waste Management Operatives.
and race	
characteristic	
?	

Does this proposal impact	Yes
people's religion or beliefs as	
per the Equality Act 2010?	
	["Muslim","Christian","No religion"]
What religions could be	[wushm, Christian, No religion]
impacted by this proposal?	
Please describe the impact to	The largest religion within the area is Muslim (70%)
the religion or beliefs	followed by Christian (12.9%) and no religion (7.2%) with
characteristic	other religions represented at lower levels.
	Whilst the effect of reducing these services is not directly
	targeting these groups, it is recognised that, by way of
	having a larger representation of these groups within the
	wards, the reduction of service indirectly affects these
	groups more so than the population of Birmingham as a
	whole.
	The risk to the residents is in line with those around ethnic
	group as the increased risk to health and the detriment to
	the amenity of the area with less capacity to intervene in
	waste crime may lead to increased waste in the
	environment and other environmental crimes such as
	graffiti, abandoned vehicles, burning of waste etc.
How will you mitigate against	Whilst the reduced service in these areas will impact those
any negative impact to the	groups, the reduced service is still only offered in those 6
religion or beliefs	wards. We expect there to be less engagement and
characteristic?	enforcement in each of the 6 priority wards. To help
	· · ·
	mitigate this, we will prioritise officer time into the areas
	most impacted by waste crime as measured through
	reports of waste crime via the contact centre and referrals
	from Waste Management Operatives.

Protected Characteristic - Religion or Beliefs

Protected Characteristic - Sexual Orientation

Does this proposal impact	No
people's sexual orientation as	
per the Equality Act 2010?	











What sexual orientations may be impacted by this proposal?	N/A
Please describe the impact to the sexual orientation characteristic	N/A
How will you mitigate against any negative impact to the sexual orientation characteristic?	N/A

Monitoring	
How will you ensure any adverse impact and mitigation measures are monitored?	We will monitor reports of flytipping in the wards, this is the metric for which we have access to determine the impacts of the reduction in service over time. This is the main indicator that was used to determine the 6 wards as a priority so is an appropriate tool to determine whether the reduced service might lead to an increase in reports of flytipping within the area which would indicate a reduction in the street scene condition. Within this data lies the type of waste, location of waste and other waste crime statistics.
Please enter the email address for the officer responsible for monitoring impact and mitigation	Paul Pritchett





