

## **Matter G Written Statement – Mr Paul Gilmour (186064)**

*In response to the inspectors guidance I do not feel it necessary to rehash my previous representations to address the main issues & question.*

*However, below I set out my additional comments:*

**TUESDAY 18 NOVEMBER 2014, AM & PM**

### ***Matter G: Spatial delivery of growth (BDP policies GA1-GA4 & GA7-GA10)***

*Main issue: Are the Plan's proposals for these key growth areas justified and deliverable?*

Questions:

1) a) Do policies GA.1 to GA4 and GA7 to GA10 provide sufficient detail and certainty over what will be permitted and where, within the areas that they cover?

2) Is there evidence to show that the amounts of development sought by the Plan in each of the areas covered by these policies are justified and deliverable?

***The Plan is internally inconsistent, according to the plan when we reach 2031, only 5,000 units will have been sold on Langley yet over 6,500 units will have sold in Nechells and over 5,500 in Ladywood. However, according to the evidence the closeness of Ladywood to Nechells and the similarity of the products should curtail the anticipated delivery rate in the inner-city as it does in the green belt.***

***If the evidence in the pba reports is to be used its use should cover the whole geography of the city and the achievable delivery in the inner-city should be constrained by the same factors as used in the green belt.***