

Reference	Policy and/ or paragraph number	Proposed Modifications Deleted text is struck through ; new text is in <u>bold and underlined</u> .
MM4	<p>Policy DM4 Landscaping and trees</p> <p>Paragraph 2.37</p> <p>Paragraph 2.39</p>	<p>Policy DM4 Part 2 2. The composition of the proposed landscape should be appropriate to the setting and the development, as set out in a Landscape Plan*, with opportunities taken to maximise the provision of new trees <u>in appropriate locations within a multi-functional green infrastructure network</u>, and other green infrastructure, create or enhance links from the site to adjacent green infrastructure and support objectives for habitat creation and enhancement as set out in the Birmingham and Black Country Nature Improvement Area Ecological Strategy 2017-2022 and subsequent revisions.</p> <p>Policy DM4 Part 3 3. Development proposals must seek to avoid the loss of, and minimise the risk of harm to, existing trees <u>of quality</u>, woodland, and/or hedgerows of visual or nature conservation value, including but not limited to trees or woodland which are subject to a Tree Preservation Order, or which are designated as <u>Development resulting in the loss or deterioration of Ancient Woodland or Ancient/ Veteran Trees will be refused, unless there are wholly exceptional reasons and a suitable compensation strategy exists</u>, or which are designated as Where trees and/or woodlands are proposed to be lost as a part of development, this loss must be justified as a part of an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) submitted with the application.</p> <p>Policy DM4 Part 5 (Last sentence) 5. ... Where on-site replacement is not achievable, contributions to off-site tree planting will be sought through a Section 106 Agreement. <u>The method of calculating these contributions will be contained within the city's Tree Strategy.</u></p> <p>Paragraph 2.37 2.37 Trees <u>of quality</u> classified <u>in line with BS5837</u> as being of categories A or B in value <u>quality and woodland and/ or hedgerows of visual or nature conservation value</u> should be considered as worthy of protection and development proposals should seek to avoid their loss and minimise risk of harm.</p> <p>Paragraph 2.39 2.39 Where development would result in the loss of a <u>(BS5837) category A, B or C</u> tree(s) and / or other landscaping, adequate replacement planting will be assessed against the existing value of the tree(s) removed, calculated using the Capital Asset Value for Amenity Trees (CAVAT) methodology (or other future equivalent), pre-development canopy cover and biodiversity considerations. Reasonable deductions will...</p>
MM5	Policy DM6 Noise and vibration	<p>Policy DM6 Part 2 2. <u>Applications for N</u>noise and/or vibration-generating development must, <u>where relevant</u>, be accompanied by an assessment of the potential impact of any noise and/ or vibration generated by the development on the amenity of its occupiers, nearby residents and other noise sensitive uses/ areas, including nature conservation. Where potential adverse impact is identified, the development proposal shall include details on how the adverse impact will be reduced and/or mitigated.</p>

Reference	Policy and/ or paragraph number	Proposed Modifications Deleted text is struck through ; new text is in <u>bold and underlined</u> .
	Paragraph 2.52	<p>Policy DM6 Part 3</p> <p>3. <u>Applications for</u> No noise-sensitive development (such as residential uses, hospitals and schools) must, <u>where relevant</u>, be accompanied by an assessment of the impact of any existing and/or planned sources of noise and vibration in the vicinity of the proposed development including transport infrastructure, entertainment/cultural/community facilities and commercial activity. Where potential adverse impact is identified, the development proposal shall include details on how the adverse impact will be reduced and/or mitigated.</p> <p>Paragraph 2.52</p> <p>2.52 In all cases, the assessment will be based on an understanding of the existing and <u>predicted</u> planned levels of environmental noise <u>at both the development site and nearby receptors</u> and the measures needed to bring noise down to acceptable levels for the existing or proposed noise-sensitive development. A noise assessment and scheme mitigation will be required as part of the planning application. The determination of noise impact will be based on the Noise Policy Statement for England and the Planning Practice Guidance on Noise. <u>Although not a Supplementary Planning Document</u>, the Council also has a detailed guidance note on Noise and Vibration maintained by Environmental Health.</p>
MM6	<p>Policy DM8 Places of worship and faith related community uses</p> <p>Paragraph 3.10</p>	<p>Policy DM8 Part 1</p> <p>1. <u>Except for any specific allocation in the Local Plan</u>, the Council's preferred locations for the development of places of worship and faith related community uses are in the network of centres as defined in Policy TP21 of the Birmingham Development Plan. Proposals for development outside of the network of centres <u>these locations</u> will be considered favourably where...</p> <p>Paragraph 3.10</p> <p>3.10 The <u>preferred</u> most appropriate locations for places of worship and faith related community uses is in the network of centres as is defined in Policy TP21 of the BDP <u>and as part of any specific allocations in the Local Plan</u>. These are the most sustainable locations in terms of transport accessibility and parking. Other locations outside of the network of town-centres will be considered favourably where the criteria outlined in the policy can be satisfactorily met. Proposals for places of worship and faith related community uses should also comply with other relevant local plan policies and guidance.</p>
MM7	<p>Policy DM9 Day nurseries and early years provision</p> <p>Paragraph 3.18</p>	<p>Policy DM9 Part 1</p> <p>1. <u>Except for any specific allocation in the Local Plan</u>, the Council's preferred locations for the development of day nurseries and facilities for the care, recreation and education of children are in the network of centres as defined in Policy TP21 of the Birmingham Development Plan. Proposals for development outside of the network of centres <u>these locations</u> will only be considered favourably where...</p> <p>Paragraph 3.18 (4th sentence)</p> <p>3.18. ... The network of centres as defined by Policy TP21 of the Birmingham Development Plan <u>and as part of any specific</u></p>

Reference	Policy and/ or paragraph number	Proposed Modifications Deleted text is struck through ; new text is in <u>bold and underlined</u> .
	<p>Paragraph 3.19</p> <p>Paragraph 3.20.</p>	<p><u>allocations in the Local Plan, are</u> is considered the most appropriate <u>preferred</u> locations <u>for such uses</u>, but <u>other</u> locations outside of centres will be considered appropriate where the policy criteria are met...</p> <p>Paragraph 3.19 3.19 If you are using your home (dwellinghouse) for childcare provision and more than seven children are minded, <u>not including your own children</u>, for more than two hours a day, or most of the rooms within your dwellinghouse is used for childcare so that the main use no longer as your home, this will be considered as a day nursery and planning consent would be required.</p> <p>Paragraph 3.20 (last sentence) 3.20 ...It is therefore important that sufficient safe parking is provided, <u>following the guidance set out in the council's Parking Guidelines and Car Park Design Guide Supplementary Planning Documents and any subsequent revision</u> in a location that will not endanger other road users or pedestrians.</p>
MM8	<p>Policy DM10 Standards for residential development</p> <p>Paragraph 4.5</p> <p>Paragraph 4.11</p>	<p>Policy DM10 Part 1 1. All residential development will be required to meet the minimum Nationally Described Space Standards (Appendix 1). <u>This does not include specialist accommodation covered by Policy DM12 and defined in paragraph 4.27 of this document.</u></p> <p>Policy DM10 Part 6 6. Exceptions to all of the above will only be considered <u>where it can be robustly demonstrated with appropriate evidence that</u> to deliver innovative high quality design, deal with exceptional site <u>specific</u> issues <u>or</u> respond to local character, <u>adhering to the standards is not feasible due to physical constraints or financial viability issues. Any reduction in standards as a result must</u> and where it can be demonstrated that residential amenity will not be significantly diminished.</p> <p>Footnote to Policy DM10 * Standards <u>Guidelines</u> are set out in Places for Living SPD which will be replaced by the Birmingham Design Guide.</p> <p>Add to Paragraph 4.5: 4.5...<u>The Policy will not apply to applications that are already registered prior to the date of adoption of the DMB.</u></p> <p>Add additional paragraph at 4.11. <u>4.11 'Physical constraints'. as described in Part 6 of the policy. may include (but are not limited to) site specific constraints such as topography, flood risk, ground conditions, location of services or heritage and character considerations.</u></p>

Reference	Policy and/ or paragraph number	Proposed Modifications Deleted text is struck through ; new text is in <u>bold and underlined</u> .
MM9	Policy DM13 Self and custom build housing	Policy DM13 Part 3 3. Affordable self-build plots will be considered and encouraged as a suitable product within the affordable housing-requirement <u>mix provided</u> on larger sites <u>(200 dwellings or more) where it is demonstrated to meet an identified need and is not substituted for needed social rented and affordable rented housing.</u>
MM10	Policy DM14 Transport Access and Safety New paragraph 5.10	Policy DM14 title. Highway Safety and Access- <u>Transport Access and Safety</u> Policy DM14 Part 1 1. Development must ensure that the safety of highway users is properly taken into consideration and that any new development would not have an <u>unacceptable</u> adverse impact on highway safety. Policy DM14 Part 5 5. On Birmingham's strategic highway network, and other principle and main distributor routes, development must seek opportunities to remove unnecessary access points. New direct vehicular accesses will be supported where specified in a local plan or where there are no practical alternatives (including consideration of impacts on public transport, walking and cycling routes and road safety). <u>In relation to criteria 6.e of the policy, 'necessary or future transport improvements' are defined as those included in policies, strategies and programmes published by Birmingham City Council, West Midlands Combined Authority, West Midlands Rail Executive, Network Rail, Highways England, National Government and other relevant public sector organisations.</u>
MM11	Policy DM15 Parking and Servicing Paragraph 5.14 (formerly 5.13)	Policy DM15 Part 2 2. New development will <u>need</u> be required to ensure that the operational needs of the development are met and <u>in terms of</u> parking provision, including parking for people with disabilities, cycle parking and infrastructure to support the use of low emission vehicles and car clubs. is in accordance with the Council's Parking Supplementary Planning Document. Policy DM15 Part 3 3. Proposals for parking and servicing shall avoid highway safety problems and protect the local amenity and character of the area. Parking <u>and servicing</u> should be designed to be secure and fully accessible to <u>its</u> all users and adhere to the principles of relevant Supplementary Planning Documents." Paragraph 5. <u>14 (formerly 5.13)</u> ... It provides revised parking standards for all new developments in the city to reflect the National Planning Policy Framework. The approach to the provision of parking aims to promote sustainable transport, reduce congestion, improve road safety and reduce pollution. <u>The Parking SPD will be used as a guide in the determination of planning</u>

Reference	Policy and/ or paragraph number	Proposed Modifications Deleted text is struck through ; new text is in <u>bold and underlined</u> .			
	<p>Paragraph 5.15 (formerly 5.14)</p> <p>Paragraph 5.16 (formerly 5.15)</p>	<p><u>applications. The City Council will take account of whether there are any circumstances, related either to the site or the operation of the development, which may support an alternative level of parking provision.</u> The Parking SPD will also set out how the city will manage on-street (public highway) and off-street parking provision across the city.”</p> <p>Paragraph 5.<u>15 (formerly 5.14)</u> 5.14 The Council will support and promote the provision of <u>on-street and off-street</u> charging points for ultra-low emission vehicles and car clubs. The availability of...</p> <p>Paragraph 5.<u>16 (formerly 5.15)</u> 5.15 Garages will only be accepted as contributing towards parking provision for development if they have adequate functional space <u>as defined within the Parking SPD.</u> This will help...</p>			
MM12	Appendix 2: Monitoring Framework	Appendix 2: Monitoring Framework			
		<p>Policy</p> <p>Policy DM1 Air Quality</p>	<p>Monitoring Indicator</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of applications refused- approved where proposals exceed- nationally or locally set objectives for air quality, particularly for nitrogen- dioxide, or increase exposure to- unacceptable levels of air pollution, <u>approved contrary to the policy</u> • Number of applications for fuelling- stations refused due to air quality and- percentage successfully defended at- appeal <p><u>Number of applications refused on air quality grounds and successfully defended at appeal</u></p>	<p>Target</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>All relevant applications meet the policy requirements</u> • <u>All relevant appeal decisions uphold air quality impact as valid reason for refusal</u> 	<p>Trigger</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>10% of applications approved contrary to policy 10% of appeals where inspector rejected air quality as a reason for refusal</u>
		Policy DM2 Amenity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of applications refused on- amenity grounds and percentage of- refusals successfully defended at- appeal <u>approved contrary to the policy</u> • Use of conditions securing- compliance with the policy <p><u>Number of applications refused on amenity grounds and successfully defended at appeal</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>All relevant applications meet the policy requirements</u> • <u>All relevant appeal decisions uphold loss of amenity as valid reason for refusal</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>10% of applications approved contrary to policy 10% of appeals where inspector rejected amenity as reason for refusal</u>

Reference	Policy and/ or paragraph number	Proposed Modifications	Deleted text is struck through ; new text is in <u>bold and underlined</u> .		
		Policy DM3 Land affected by Contamination and Hazardous substances	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of applications where there are outstanding EA/HSE objections and no submission of a preliminary risk assessment, and where appropriate, a risk management and remediation strategy • <u>Number of applications approved contrary to the policy</u> • <u>Number of applications refused on contamination grounds and successfully defended at appeal</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>All relevant applications meet the policy requirements</u> • <u>All relevant appeal decisions uphold risk of contamination as a valid reason for refusal</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>10% of applications approved contrary to policy</u> • <u>10% of appeals where inspector rejected contamination as reason for refusal</u>
		Policy DM4 Landscaping and Trees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ha/ sq. m. in loss of ancient woodland • Number of applications providing replacement trees/landscaping • <u>approved without tree replacement provision (where relevant)</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>No loss of ancient trees/ woodland</u> • <u>No applications approved without tree replacement provision (where relevant)</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>10% loss of ancient trees/ woodland</u> • <u>10% of applications approved without tree replacement provision (where relevant)</u>
		Policy DM5 Light Pollution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of applications refused on light pollution grounds and percentage successfully defended at appeal • <u>approved contrary to the policy</u> • Number of applications refused on light pollution grounds and successfully defended at appeal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>All relevant applications meet the policy requirements</u> • <u>All relevant appeal decisions uphold light pollution as a valid reason for refusal</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>10% of applications approved contrary to policy</u> • <u>10% of appeals where inspector rejected light pollution as reason for refusal</u>
		Policy DM6 Noise and Vibration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of successful planning enforcement cases carried out in relation to noise • Number of applications approved contrary to the policy • Number of applications refused on noise impact grounds and percentage of refusals successfully defended at appeal • Number of applications approved with successful mitigation schemes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>All relevant applications meet the policy requirements</u> • <u>All relevant appeal decisions uphold noise impact as a valid reason for refusal</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>10% of applications approved contrary to policy</u> • <u>10% of appeals where inspector rejected noise impact as reason for refusal</u>
		Policy DM7 Advertisements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of enforcement cases successfully concluded • <u>Number of applications approved contrary to the policy</u> • Number of applications refused on 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>All relevant applications meet the policy requirements</u> • <u>All relevant appeal decisions uphold the reason(s) for refusal related to the policy</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>10% of applications approved contrary to policy</u> • <u>10% of appeals where inspector rejected the reason(s) for refusal related</u>

Reference	Policy and/ or paragraph number	Proposed Modifications Deleted text is struck through; new text is in <u>bold and underlined</u> .			
			this policy and percentage successfully defended at appeal		<u>to the policy</u>
	Policy DM8 Places of Worship		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentage of permissions for places of worship granted inside/outside the network of centres <u>Number of applications approved contrary to the policy</u> Percentage of applications refused <u>on this policy and</u> successfully defended at appeal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>All relevant applications meet the policy requirements</u> <u>All relevant appeal decisions uphold the reason(s) for refusal related to the policy</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>10% of applications approved contrary to policy</u> <u>10% of appeals where inspector rejected the reason(s) for refusal related to the policy</u>
	Policy DM9 Day nurseries and early years provision		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentage of permissions for day nurseries granted inside/outside the network of centres <u>Number of applications approved contrary to the policy</u> Percentage of applications refused <u>on this policy and</u> successfully defended at appeal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>All relevant applications meet the policy requirements</u> <u>All relevant appeal decisions uphold the reason(s) for refusal related to the policy</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>10% of applications approved contrary to policy</u> <u>10% of appeals where inspector rejected the reason(s) for refusal related to the policy</u>
	Policy DM10 Standards for Residential Development		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentage of applications refused on space standards not being met successfully defended at appeal <u>Number of dwellings meeting NDSS.</u> <u>Number of dwellings provided as accessible and adaptable</u> Percentage <u>Number</u> of applications refused on 45 Degree Code successfully defended at appeal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>100% of dwellings meet NDSS</u> <u>100% of development of 15 or more dwellings provide 30% accessible homes</u> <u>All relevant appeals on 45 Degree Code policy successfully defended</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Provision of NDSS compliant homes falls below 80%</u> <u>Provision of accessible and adaptable homes falls below 80%.</u> <u>10% of appeals where inspector rejected 45 Degree Code policy as reason for refusal</u>
	Policy DM11 House in multiple occupation		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentage of applications refused successfully defended at appeals <u>New areas with over 10% concentration of HMOs</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>No new areas with over 10% concentration of HMOs</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Increase in areas with over 10% concentration of HMOs</u>
	Policy DM12 Residential conversions and specialist accommodation		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Percentage <u>Number</u> of applications refused on criteria not being met and successfully defended at appeal <u>approved contrary to policy</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>All relevant applications to meet the policy requirements</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>10% of applications approved contrary to the policy</u>
	Policy DM13 Self and custom building housing		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number and of individuals and groups listed on the self-build register each year 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>No specific target</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>No specific trigger</u>

Reference	Policy and/ or paragraph number	Proposed Modifications Deleted text is struck through; new text is in <u>bold and underlined</u> .			
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of new homes granted exemption from CIL due to self/custom build Status • Numbers of plots made available for self and custom build each year 		
	Policy DM14 Highway and safety access		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Percentage <u>Number</u> of major applications which are accompanied by a Transport Assessment and a Travel Plan <u>approved contrary to the policy</u> • Percentage of refused applications successfully defended on appeal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>All relevant applications meet the policy requirements</u> 	<u>10% of applications approved contrary to the policy</u>
	Policy DM15 Parking and servicing		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Number of applications approved contrary to the policy</u> • Number of applications refused <u>on this policy</u> on car parking or servicing grounds successfully defended at appeal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>All relevant applications meet the policy requirements</u> 	<u>10% of applications approved contrary to the policy</u>
	Policy DM16 Telecommunications		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Percentage <u>Number</u> of applications refused successfully defended at appeal <u>approved contrary to the policy</u> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>All relevant applications meet the policy requirements</u> 	<u>10% of applications approved contrary to the policy</u>