Development Management in Birmingham Plan Examination

Inspector – K Ford MSc (Hons) MRTPI Programme Officer – I Kemp idkemp@icloud.com Tel: 07723 009166

HEARING SESSION AGENDA

Tuesday 10 November 2020 10:00

Please note:

- All participants are encouraged to familiarise themselves with the hearing statement produced by the Council and other parties with regards the issues addressed at this session. They are available on the Examination website.
- References to questions in brackets are those posed by the Inspector in the Matters Issues and Questions (MIQs) document. This has already been circulated and can be viewed on the Examination website.
- References to proposed changes are those in the Council's Schedule of Proposed Minor Changes (CSD4) and Schedule of Additional Minor Changes (CSD5).
- Please see the Hearing Programme for a list of the participants at each session.
- A. Inspector's Introduction
- B. Birmingham City Council Opening Statement
- C. Main Matter 1 Legal Compliance, including the Duty to Co-operate

Issue: Whether the Plan has been prepared with due regard to legal and procedural requirements and the Duty to Co-operate has been satisfied

- C1. Issues raised by duty to cooperate bodies, in particular Highways England (Q2)
- C2. Sustainability Appraisal addendum (CSD10)
- D. Main Matter 2 Compliance with the Birmingham Development Plan

Issue: Whether the Plan gives effect to and is consistent with the Birmingham Development Plan

D1. Alignment with Birmingham Development Plan (Q19)

11:00

E. Main Matter 4 Economy and Network of Centres Policies

Issue: Are the individual policies clear, justified and consistent with national policy and will they be effective?

Policy DM7 Advertisements

- E1. Roads referenced in the Policy (Q49)
- E2. Impact of advertisements on waterway network (Q51)

Policy DM8 Places of Worship and Faith Related Community Uses

- E3. Consistency with Policy GA5 of the Birmingham Development Plan (Q52)
- E4. Proposed amendments to policy

Policy DM9 Day Nurseries and Childcare Provision

- E5. Consistency with Policy TP21 and Policy GA5 of the Birmingham Development Plan (Q54)
- E6. Effectiveness of paragraphs 3.19 and 3.20 (Q56 and Q57)

14:00

F. Main Matter 3 Environment and Sustainability Policies

Issue: Are the individual policies clear, justified and consistent with national policy and will they be effective?

Policy DM1 Air Quality

- F1. Evidence to support proposed modification of 'threshold requirement for exposure to pollutant concentrations that are within 5% of nationally or locally set objectives' (Q22)
- F2. Proposed amendments to the policy

Policy DM2 Amenity

- F3. Relationship between Policy DM2 and DM10 of the Plan (Q26)
- F4. Use of the word vicinity in 1.h of the policy (Q24)

<u>Policy DM3 Land affected by Contamination, Instability and Hazardous Substances</u>

F5. Effectiveness of Policy and proposed amendments (Q29)

Policy DM4 Landscaping and Trees

- F6. Clarity and effectiveness of point 2 of the Policy (Q33)
- F7. Use of Capital Asset Value for Amenity Trees methodology (Q38)
- F8. Long term management of landscaping schemes (Q42)
- F9. Proposed changes to the policy

Policy DM5 Light Pollution

- F10. Safety and security benefits of lighting dark places (Q44)
- F11. Proposed change to the policy

Policy DM6 Noise and Vibration

- F12. Consistency with national policy (Q45)
- F13. Reference to Noise and Vibration Guidance Note in paragraph 2.52 (Q47)
- F14. Proposed amendments to the policy

G. Follow up Items and Close of Hearing Session