## Tyseley \& Hay Mills Ward Factsheet



Tyseley \& Hay Mills is a suburban ward located in the east of the city. It has a population of 11,689 making it the $48^{\text {th }}$ most populous ward in the city.
The ward has a younger age profile than the city as a whole and a higher BAME population share.
Tyseley \& Hay Mills is mid too high ranking amongst the city's 69 wards for deprivation levels and is ranked $10^{\text {th }}$ highest for levels of child poverty.
Resident employment rates in the ward are below the city average and claimant count unemployment proportions are above the Birmingham average.
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## Demography

Table 1: Ward Age Structure

| Age | Ward N ${ }^{\circ}$ | \% of Ward Population | Birmingham \% | England \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Residents | 11,689 |  | - | - |
| 16-64 | 7,252 | 62.0\% | 64.6\% | 63.0\% |
| Under 18 | 3,716 | 31.8\% | 25.1\% | 20.8\% |
| 18-24 | 1,101 | 9.4\% | 11.5\% | 8.3\% |
| 25-44 | 3,385 | 29.0\% | 28.1\% | 26.6\% |
| 45-64 | 2,389 | 20.4\% | 22.1\% | 25.8\% |
| 65+ | 1,098 | 9.4\% | 13.1\% | 18.4\% |



Table 2: Ward Ethnicity

| Ethnic Group | Ward No | \% of Ward <br> Population | Birmingham \% |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | England \%

## Labour Market

Table 3: Ward Working Age Economic Activity

| Economic Activity | Ward ${ }^{\circ}$ | \% 16-64 | Birmingham \% | England \% |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $16-64$ Population | 7,253 | - | - | - |
| Economically Active | 4,337 | $59.8 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ |
| Total | 3,785 | $52.2 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ |
| Employed | 552 | $7.6 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unemployed | 2,916 | $40.2 \%$ | $35.1 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ |
| Economically inactive <br> Total |  |  |  |  |

## Claimant Count Unemployment

Ward level claimant count and youth claimant count unemployment data is released monthly. The latest data can be found in our monthly Unemployment Update available on our website on the following link: Link to Monthly Unemployment Update

Table 4: Ward Occupational Breakdown

| Occupation | Ward ${ }^{\circ}$ | \% of Employed Residents | Birmingham \% | England \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Employed 16+ | 3,876 | - | - | - |
| Managers, directors and senior officials | 276 | 7.1\% | 9.3\% | 12.9\% |
| Professional occupations | 371 | 9.6\% | 21.1\% | 20.3\% |
| Associate professional and technical occupations | 320 | 8.3\% | 11.3\% | 13.3\% |
| Higher Skilled Occupations | 967 | 24.9\% | 41.7\% | 46.4\% |
| Administrative and secretarial occupations | 335 | 8.6\% | 9.3\% | 9.3\% |
| Skilled trades occupations | 388 | 10.0\% | 8.3\% | 10.2\% |
| Intermediate Occupations | 723 | 18.7\% | 17.6\% | 19.4\% |
| Caring, leisure and other service occupations | 442 | 11.4\% | 10.3\% | 9.3\% |
| Sales and customer service occupations | 410 | 10.6\% | 8.2\% | 7.5\% |
| Process plant and machine operatives | 584 | 15.1\% | 8.7\% | 6.9\% |
| Elementary occupations | 750 | 19.3\% | 13.4\% | 10.5\% |
| Lower Skilled Occupations | 2,186 | 56.4\% | 40.7\% | 34.1\% |
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Table 5: Ward NVQ Qualification Levels

| NVQ Level | Ward ${ }^{\circ}$ | \% of 16+ Population | Birmingham \% | England \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Qualifications | 2,581 | 30.9\% | 23.9\% | 18.1\% |
| Level 1 | 1,080 | 12.9\% | 10.1\% | 9.7\% |
| Level 2 | 1,163 | 13.9\% | 12.5\% | 13.3\% |
| Apprenticeship | 336 | 4.0\% | 3.6\% | 5.3\% |
| Level 3 | 1,234 | 14.8\% | 17.1\% | 16.9\% |
| Level 4 qualifications | 1,635 | 19.6\% | 29.9\% | 33.9\% |
| Other Qualifications | 316 | 3.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.8\% |
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Table 6: Ward Disability \& Long Term Health Conditions Working Age

| Level | Ward ${ }^{\circ}$ | \% | Birmingham \% | England \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disabled | 1,232 | 16.6\% | 16.1\% | 15.0\% |
| Long Term Health Condition -not Disabled | 296 | 4.0\% | 5.8\% | 7.5\% |
| Total Disabled \& Long Term Health Condition | 1,528 | 20.6\% | 21.8\% | 22.6\% |
| Not Disabled \& No Long Term Health Conditions | 5,880 | 79.4\% | 78.2\% | 77.4\% |

Table 7: Ward Language Barriers Aged 16+

| Proficiency in English | Ward ${ }^{\circ}$ | \% 16+ | Birmingham \% | England \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main language is English | 6,310 | 75.6\% | 82.7\% | 90.1\% |
| Main language is not English Total | 2,039 | 24.4\% | 17.3\% | 9.9\% |
| Main language is not English- Can speak English very well or well | 1,349 | 16.2\% | 11.9\% | 7.9\% |
| Main language is not English- Cannot speak English or speak English well | 690 | 8.3\% | 5.4\% | 2.1\% |

## Deprivation

Table 8: 2019 Index of Deprivation Birmingham Wards Ranked

| Ward | 2019 Ward Rank | 2015 Ward Rank | Change in <br> Rank 2015 <br> to 2019 | 2019 Decile (where 1 is $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ Most Deprived Nationally) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sparkbrook \& Balsall Heath East | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Bordesley Green | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Lozells | 3 | 3 | - | 1 |
| Castle Vale | 4 | 18 | 14 | 1 |
| Alum Rock | 5 | 5 | - | 1 |
| Newtown | 6 | 1 | -5 | 1 |
| Heartlands | 7 | 9 | 2 | 1 |
| Gravelly Hill | 8 | 10 | 2 | 1 |
| Balsall Heath West | 9 | 6 | -3 | 1 |
| Birchfield | 10 | 7 | -3 | 1 |
| Shard End | 11 | 12 | 1 | 1 |
| Kingstanding | 12 | 16 | 4 | 1 |
| Garretts Green | 13 | 14 | 1 | 1 |
| Aston | 14 | 11 | -3 | 1 |
| Glebe Farm \& Tile Cross | 15 | 17 | 2 | 1 |
| Handsworth | 16 | 20 | 4 | 1 |
| Kings Norton South | 17 | 13 | -4 | 1 |
| Ward End | 18 | 21 | 3 | 1 |
| Bordesley \& Highgate | 19 | 15 | -4 | 1 |
| Tyseley \& Hay Mills | 20 | 22 | 2 | 1 |
| Small Heath | 21 | 19 | -2 | 1 |
| Frankley Great Park | 22 | 24 | 2 | 1 |
| Holyhead | 23 | 23 | - | 1 |
| Nechells | 24 | 8 | -16 | 1 |
| Druids Heath \& Monyhull | 25 | 27 | 2 | 1 |
| Stockland Green | 26 | 28 | 2 | 1 |
| Yardley West \& Stechford | 27 | 26 | -1 | 1 |
| Perry Common | 28 | 29 | 1 | 2 |
| Soho \& Jewellery Quarter | 29 | 25 | -4 | 2 |
| Sparkhill | 30 | 30 | - | 2 |
| Bartley Green | 31 | 31 | - | 2 |
| Allens Cross | 32 | 35 | 3 | 2 |
| Bromford \& Hodge Hill | 33 | 33 | - | 2 |
| Billesley | 34 | 37 | 3 | 2 |
| Weoley \& Selly Oak | 35 | 41 | 6 | 2 |
| Acocks Green | 36 | 34 | -2 | 2 |
| Rubery \& Rednal | 37 | 40 | 3 | 2 |
| Erdington | 38 | 36 | -2 | 3 |


| Pype Hayes | 39 | 39 | - | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Longbridge \& West Heath | 40 | 42 | 2 | 2 |
| North Edgbaston | 41 | 38 | -3 | 2 |
| Ladywood | 42 | 32 | -10 | 2 |
| Moseley | 43 | 44 | 1 | 2 |
| Kings Norton North | 44 | 46 | 2 | 2 |
| Sheldon | 45 | 52 | 7 | 3 |
| Stirchley | 46 | 50 | 4 | 3 |
| Highter's Heath | 47 | 47 | - | 3 |
| South Yardley | 48 | 45 | -3 | 3 |
| Yardley East | 49 | 43 | -6 | 3 |
| Hall Green North | 50 | 49 | -1 | 3 |
| Quinton | 51 | 51 | - | 3 |
| Oscott | 52 | 53 | 1 | 3 |
| Brandwood \& King's Heath | 53 | 54 | 1 | 3 |
| Handsworth Wood | 54 | 48 | -6 | 3 |
| Harborne | 55 | 55 | - | 3 |
| Perry Barr | 56 | 58 | 2 | 4 |
| Bournville \& Cotteridge | 57 | 56 | -1 | 4 |
| Sutton Reddicap | 58 | 60 | 2 | 4 |
| Northfield | 59 | 57 | -2 | 4 |
| Bournbrook \& Selly Park | 60 | 61 | 1 | 5 |
| Edgbaston | 61 | 59 | -2 | 5 |
| Hall Green South | 62 | 62 | - | 6 |
| Sutton Trinity | 63 | 63 | - | 6 |
| Sutton Walmley \& Minworth | 64 | 64 | - | 7 |
| Sutton Vesey | 65 | 65 | - | 7 |
| Sutton Mere Green | 66 | 66 | - | 7 |
| Sutton Wylde Green | 67 | 68 | 1 | 7 |
| Sutton Four Oaks | 68 | 67 | -1 | 8 |
| Sutton Roughley | 69 | 69 | - | 9 |

## Child Poverty

Department for Work and Pensions (DWP) data on the number and proportion of children living in low income families provide an indication of the number of children living in poverty in each ward of the city. Low income families are defined as those whose household income is less than $60 \%$ of average household income. Only families who have claimed Child Benefit and at least one other household benefit are included in the statistics.

In 2021/22 there were 2,172 children living in low income households in Tyseley \& Hay Mills, $53.4 \%$ of all children in the ward, meaning the ward is ranked $10^{\text {th }}$ in the city in terms of the levels of child poverty.


## Children in Poverty

2,172 53.4\%

Ward Rank

## Economic Output

New experimental data from the ONS provides Gross Value Added (GVA) a measure of economic output at LSOA level. Ward economic output has been calculated using an LSOA best fit approach. Total economic output in Tyseley \& Hay Mills stood at $£ 329 \mathrm{~m}$ in 2020 (latest data available) and GVA per head was $£ 26,197$. Tyseley \& Hay Mills has the $20^{\text {th }}$ largest ward economy in the city in terms of GVA.


